arXiv:1301.6234v2 [math.AP] 1 Feb 2013

# A Note on Fractional Evolutionary Equations.

Rainer Picard *

**Abstract.** A class of linear evolutionary equations with material laws involving fractional time-derivatives is considered. The main result is well-posedness and causality for this problem class. The approach is illustrated with two examples: a fractional Fokker-Planck type equation and a class of visco-elastic materials described via fractional derivatives. In conclusion the possibility of imposing initial conditions is discussed.

**Keywords and phrases:** evolutionary equations, material laws, fractional derivatives

**Mathematics subject classification 2000:** 35R11,3705,58D25,36A33

## 0 Introduction

In [6, 8] it has been shown that the standard (autonomous and linear) initial boundary value problems of mathematical physics share a simple common form, if considered as first order systems. Indeed, it is found that they are of the form

$$\partial_0 V + AU = F, \tag{1}$$

where $\partial_0$ denotes time-differentiation, in the usual cases $A$ is skew-selfadjoint. The unknown $U$ and $V$ are linked by a so-called material law

$$V = \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) U, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a bounded operator-valued function, analytic in a ball $B_{\mathbb{C}}\left(r, r\right)$ of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ centered at $r$. $\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)$ is then well-defined in terms of an operator-valued function calculus associated with $\partial_0$ as a normal operator in the weighted $L^2$-type space $H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right)$, $\rho > \frac{1}{2r}$, which may be described by completing the space $\mathring{C}_\infty\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right)$ of smooth $H$-valued functions, $H$ a (complex) Hilbert space, with compact support in $\mathbb{R}$ with respect to the norm $\left|\,\cdot\,\right|_{\rho,0,0}$ induced by the inner product $\left\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\right\rangle_{\rho,0,0}$ given by

$$(U, V) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle U\left(t\right) | V\left(t\right)\right\rangle_H \exp\left(-2\rho t\right)\, dt.$$

---

*Institut für Analysis,Fachrichtung Mathematik, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany, rainer.picard@tu-dresden.de

We recall from [6] the Fourier-Laplace transform given as the unitary extension $\mathcal{L}_\rho$ of the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R},H) \subseteq H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H) &\to L^2(\mathbb{R},H) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}t\left(\,\cdot\, - \mathrm{i}\,\rho\right)\right)\,\varphi(t)\;dt \end{aligned}$$

is a spectral representation of the selfadjoint operator $\mathfrak{Im}\,\partial_0 = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\left(\partial_0 - \mathrm{i}\rho\right)$ and so we find the unitary equivalence

$$\partial_0 = \mathcal{L}_\rho^*\left(\mathrm{i}\,m_0 + \rho\right)\mathcal{L}_\rho\,,$$

where $m_0$ denotes the multiplication-by-argument operator given as the closure of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R},H) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R},H) &\to L^2(\mathbb{R},H) \\ \varphi &\mapsto m_0\varphi \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\left(m_0\varphi\right)(\lambda) \;:= \lambda\,\varphi(\lambda) \;\text{ in }\; H$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. This observation allows us to consistently define an operator function calculus for operator-valued functions

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) \;:= \mathcal{L}_\rho^*\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,m_0 + \rho}\right)\mathcal{L}_\rho.$$

Here the linear operator $\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,m_0+\rho}\right) : L^2(\mathbb{R},H) \to L^2(\mathbb{R},H)$ is determined uniquely by

$$\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,m_0 + \rho}\right)\varphi\right)(\lambda) \;:= \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda + \rho}\right)\varphi(\lambda) \;\text{ in }\; H$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R},\;\; \varphi \in \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R},H)$.

It may be worth noting that the case $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ utilized here leads to the forward causal integral representation

$$\left(\partial_0^{-1}f\right)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(s)\;ds$$

for $f \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H)$ as a Bochner integral. In terms of the associated operator-valued function calculus we also know what

$$\partial_0^{-\alpha},\; \alpha \in [0,1[,$$

means[1]. With this we define for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\partial_0^\gamma := \partial_0^{\lceil\gamma\rceil}\partial_0^{\gamma-\lceil\gamma\rceil} \tag{3}$$

---

[1] It should be noted that $\partial_0^{-\alpha}$ is largely independent of the particular choice of $\rho \in\, ]0,\infty[$. Indeed, since

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho\chi_{]0,\infty[}(m_0)\,m_0^{\alpha-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(im+\rho)^\alpha}$$

we have for well-behaved $\varphi$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\chi_{]0,\infty[}(m_0)\,m_0^{\alpha-1} * \varphi = \partial_0^{-\alpha}\varphi$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\chi_{]0,\infty[}(m_0)\,m_0^{\alpha-1} * \varphi\right)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{-\infty}^{t}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}\varphi(s)\;ds.$$

as a natural generalization of differentiation to arbitrary real orders. The fact that $(\partial_0^\gamma)_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a family of commuting operators appears to be useful in applications, see [5] and the quoted literature. In contrast, the variant[2]

$$ {}_aD_t^\gamma \coloneqq \partial_0^\gamma \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>a}}(\mathbf{m}) = \partial_0^{\lceil\gamma\rceil}\left(\partial_0^{\gamma-\lceil\gamma\rceil}\chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>a}}(\mathbf{m})\right) $$

with an appropriate choice of domain, known as Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, $a\in\mathbb{R}$ a parameter, [10, 3], lacks this property. This is also true for the frequently used Caputo fractional derivative, [10, 3]:

$$ {}_a^CD_t^\gamma \coloneqq \partial_0^{\gamma-\lceil\gamma\rceil}\chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>a}}(\mathbf{m})\,\partial_0^{\lceil\gamma\rceil} $$

with a suitable domain. With these fractional derivatives being mere variants of limited usefulness in our context, we shall utilize only the above spectral definition (3) for our fractional differentiation/integration. The aim of this paper is to inspect material laws involving fractional derivatives. For this we note that for $\alpha\in[0,1]$

$$ \mathfrak{Re}\,\partial_0^\alpha \geq \rho^\alpha \tag{4} $$

and for $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$ \left\|\partial_0^{-\alpha}\right\| \leq \rho^{-\alpha}. \tag{5} $$

Indeed, (5) follows from (4) and to see (4) it suffices – by the function calculus of $\partial_0$ – to consider $\mathfrak{Re}\,(\mathrm{i}t+\rho)^\alpha$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$. We have

$$ \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Re}\,(\mathrm{i}t+\rho)^\alpha &= |\mathrm{i}t+\rho|^\alpha\cos(\alpha\beta) \\ &= \rho^\alpha\left(1+(\tan\beta)^2\right)^{\alpha/2}\cos(\alpha\beta) \\ &= \rho^\alpha\frac{\cos(\alpha\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^\alpha} \end{aligned} $$

with

$$ \beta \coloneqq \arg(\mathrm{i}t+\varrho) \in ]-\pi/2,\pi/2[\,. $$

The values of $\frac{\cos(\alpha\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^\alpha}$ are either unbounded as $\beta\to\pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ ($\alpha\in]0,1[$), or 1 (if $\alpha=0,1$). In the first case we shall try to find a minimum. The derivative

$$ \begin{aligned} \left(\beta\mapsto\frac{\cos(\alpha\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^\alpha}\right)' &= \beta\mapsto-\frac{\alpha(\cos\beta)^\alpha\sin(\alpha\beta)-\cos(\alpha\beta)\,\alpha\,(\cos(\beta))^{\alpha-1}\sin(\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^{2\alpha}} \\ &= \beta\mapsto-\alpha\frac{\cos\beta\sin(\alpha\beta)-\cos(\alpha\beta)\sin(\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^{\alpha+1}} \\ &= \beta\mapsto-\alpha\frac{\sin((\alpha-1)\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^{\alpha+1}} \end{aligned} $$

---

[2] In the limit $a\to-\infty$ the spectral fractional derivative is formally recovered:

$$ \partial_0^\gamma = {}_{-\infty}D_t^\gamma. $$

vanishes only for $\beta = 0$. Due to the behavior at the limits this must be the point where a minimum occurs. For $\beta = 0$ we have, however,

$$\frac{\cos(\alpha\beta)}{(\cos\beta)^{\alpha}} = 1,$$

which proves (4). Estimates (4), (5) will be important for the discussion of the type of material law operators $\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)$ of interest here.

Systems of the form (1), (2), give rise to equations of the form

$$\left(\partial_0 \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) + A\right) U = F, \tag{6}$$

which will be referred to as "evolutionary" equation, since the term "evolution equation" appears to be reserved for a rather special case in this comprehensive problem class.

The heart of theory is the positive definiteness condition:

$$\mathfrak{Re}\left\langle U | \partial_0 \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) U\right\rangle_{\rho,0,0} \geq c_1 \left\langle U | U\right\rangle_{\rho,0,0} \tag{7}$$

holding for all sufficiently large $\rho$ and some constant $c_1$ and every $U \in D\left(\partial_0\right)$, see e.g. [6, 7] for a more detailed discussion.

In many cases of interest the material law operator has the form

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) = M_0 + \partial_0^{-1} M_1 + \partial_0^{-1} M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right).$$

In this case condition (7) is warranted if $M_0$ is selfadjoint, for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$\rho M_0 + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \geq c_0 \tag{8}$$

for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and

$$\limsup_{\rho\to\infty} \left| M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)\right|_{H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H)\oplus H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H)} < c_0. \tag{9}$$

The requirement (8) is satisfied if $M_0$ is strictly positive definite on its range $M_0\left[H\right]$ and $\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1$ strictly positive definite on the null space $\left[\{0\}\right] M_0$ of $M_0$. Here we want to consider a particular class of material laws of a different kind involving fractional derivatives.

# 1 Material Laws with Fractional Integrals

According to the above, requirement (8) is satisfied if $M_0$ is strictly positive definite on its range $M_0\left[H\right]$ and $\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1$ strictly positive definite on the null space $\left[\{0\}\right] M_0$. In a little more detail this means that

$$\iota_0^* M_0 \iota_0 : M_0\left[H\right] \to M_0\left[H\right],\ \kappa_0^*\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 : \left[\{0\}\right] M_0 \to \left[\{0\}\right] M_0 \tag{10}$$

are strictly positive definite, where $\iota_0$ is the canonical embedding of $M_0\left[H\right]$ in $H$ and $\kappa_0$ is the canonical embedding of $\left[\{0\}\right] M_0$ in $H$. Note that $\iota_0 \iota_0^*$ and $\kappa_0 \kappa_0^*$ are the orthogonal projectors onto $M_0\left[H\right]$ and $\left[\{0\}\right] M_0$, respectively. In particular, we have

$$\iota_0 \iota_0^* + \kappa_0 \kappa_0^* = 1.$$

Here we want to consider material laws of a different kind involving fractional derivatives:

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi\cup\{1\}} \partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha + \partial_0^{-1} M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Pi \subseteq [0,1[ \text{ finite,}$$

$$M_\alpha \text{ selfadjoint and non-negative for } \alpha \in \Pi.$$

To ensure (7) for material law operators of the form (11) we assume the existence of a constant $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi} \rho^{1-\alpha} M_\alpha + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \geq c_0 \tag{12}$$

for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and

$$\limsup_{\rho\to\infty} \left| M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)\right|_{H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H)\oplus H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R},H)} < c_0. \tag{13}$$

Our aim is to describe condition (12) in more explicit terms. The observation (10) will be our guideline.

With $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$ as a monotonically increasing enumeration of $\Pi$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\Pi} \rho^{1-\alpha} M_\alpha + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 &= \sum_k \rho^{1-\alpha_k} M_{\alpha_k} + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \\ &= \rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} + \sum_{k=1}^N \rho^{1-\alpha_k} M_{\alpha_k} + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1.\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the case $N = 1$. Let $P_0 = \iota_0\iota_0^*$, where $\iota_0$ denotes the canonical embedding of $M_{\alpha_0}[H]$ in $H$. Then $P_0$ is the orthogonal projector onto $M_{\alpha_0}[H]$. The orthogonal projector onto the ortho-complement of $M_{\alpha_0}[H]$, i.e. $[\{0\}]\, M_{\alpha_0}$, is then $1 - P_0$, which in turn is equal to $\kappa_0\kappa_0^*$, where $\kappa_0$ denotes the canonical embedding of $[\{0\}]\, M_{\alpha_0}$ in $H$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle U|\rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} U + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} M_{\alpha_1} U + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 U\right\rangle_0 = \\ &= \left\langle U|\rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} U\right\rangle_0 + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} \langle U|M_{\alpha_1} U\rangle_0 + \langle U|\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 U\rangle_0 \\ &= \rho^{1-\alpha_0} \langle \iota_0^* U|\left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right) \iota_0^* U\rangle_0 + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} \langle \iota_0^* U|\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \iota_0 \iota_0^* U\rangle_0 + \\ &+2\rho^{1-\alpha_1}\, \mathfrak{Re} \langle \iota_0^* U|\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0 \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 + \\ &+\rho^{1-\alpha_1} \langle \kappa_0^* U|\left(\kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0\right) \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 + \\ &+ \langle \iota_0^* U|\iota_0^*\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \iota_0 \iota_0^* U\rangle_0 + 2\, \mathfrak{Re} \langle \iota_0^* U|\iota_0^*\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 + \\ &+ \langle \kappa_0^* U|\left(\kappa_0^*\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0\right) \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 .\end{aligned}$$

Absorbing the mixed terms we obtain for some $\varepsilon_0, \eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle U|\rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} U + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} M_{\alpha_1} U + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 U\right\rangle_0 = \\ &\geq \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_0}}{1+\eta_0} \langle \iota_0^* U|\left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right) \iota_0^* U\rangle_0 + \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_1}}{1+\eta_0} \langle \kappa_0^* U|\left(\kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0\right) \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 + \\ &+ \langle \kappa_0^* U|\left(\kappa_0^*\, \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0\right) \kappa_0^* U\rangle_0 - \varepsilon_0 \langle \kappa_0^* U|\kappa_0^* U\rangle_0\end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Repeating this calculation we obtain with $\iota_1$ as the canonical embedding of $\left(\kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1}\right)\left[\left[\{0\}\right] M_{\alpha_0}\right]$ and $\kappa_1$ the canonical embedding of $\left[\{0\}\right]\left(\kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0\right)$ into $\left[\{0\}\right] M_{\alpha_0}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle U | \rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} U + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} M_{\alpha_1} U + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 U \right\rangle_0 = \\
&\geq \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_0}}{1+\eta_0} \left\langle \iota_0^* U \right| \left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right) \left. \iota_0^* U \right\rangle_0 + \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_1}}{1+\eta_0} \left\langle \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right| \left(\iota_1^* \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0 \iota_1\right) \left. \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0 + \\
&+ \left\langle \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right| \left(\kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* \,\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 \kappa_1\right) \left. \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0 - \varepsilon_0 \left\langle \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U | \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0 + \\
&- \varepsilon_1 \left\langle \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U | \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right)$ , $\left(\iota_1^* \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0 \iota_1\right)$ and $\left(\kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* \,\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 \kappa_1\right)$ strictly positive definite, we obtain for $\varepsilon_1$ sufficiently small as desired an estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle U | \rho^{1-\alpha_0} M_{\alpha_0} U + \rho^{1-\alpha_1} M_{\alpha_1} U + \mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 U \right\rangle_0 \geq \\
&\geq \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_0}}{1+\eta_1} \left\langle \iota_0^* U \right| \left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right) \left. \iota_0^* U \right\rangle_0 + \tfrac{\rho^{1-\alpha_1}}{1+\eta_2} \left\langle \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right| \left(\iota_1^* \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_1} \kappa_0 \iota_1\right) \left. \iota_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0 + \\
&+ \tfrac{1}{1+\eta_1} \left\langle \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right| \left(\kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* \,\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 \kappa_1\right) \left. \kappa_1^* \kappa_0^* U \right\rangle_0
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive number $\eta_1$ and all sufficiently large $\rho$. The case of general $N \in \mathbb{N}$ follows similarly by induction assuming

$$\begin{array}{l}
\iota_k^* \kappa_{k-1}^* \cdots \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_k} \kappa_0 \cdots \kappa_{k-1} \iota_k,\ k = 0, \ldots, N, \text{ and} \\
\kappa_N^* \cdots \kappa_0^* \,\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0 \cdots \kappa_N \text{ strictly positive definite,}
\end{array} \tag{14}$$

where $\iota_k$ as the canonical embedding of $\left(\kappa_{k-1}^* \cdots \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_k}\right)\left[\left[\{0\}\right] M_{\alpha_0}\right]$ and $\kappa_k$ the canonical embedding of $\left[\{0\}\right]\left(\kappa_{k-1}^* \cdots \kappa_0^* M_{\alpha_k} \kappa_{k-1} \cdots \kappa_0\right)$ into $\left[\{0\}\right] M_{\alpha_0}$, $k = 0, \ldots, N$.

*Remark* 1.1. It should be noted that the latter requirements loose much of their complexity if $\kappa_k = 0$, for some $k < N$, since then $\kappa_s = 0$ for $s = k, \ldots, N$. The simplest possible case along these lines is $\left[\{0\}\right] M_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ , in which $M_{\alpha_0}$ strictly positive definite is the only relevant constraint on the material law. Also note that if the above constraint (12) hold for a subset $\Pi' \subseteq \Pi$ and $M_\beta \geq 0$ for $\beta \in \Pi \backslash \Pi'$ then it also holds for all of $\Pi$. So, if for example $M_{\alpha_0}$ and $M_1$ are such that $\left(\iota_0^* M_{\alpha_0} \iota_0\right)$ and $\left(\kappa_0^* \,\mathfrak{Re}\, M_1 \kappa_0\right)$ are strictly positive definite then other coefficient operators $M_\beta$ for $\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_0\}$ can be left out of consideration if they are non-negative.

Since $\partial_0$ commutes with $\left(\partial_0 \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) + A\right)$ we could extend our discussion to the Sobolev lattice associated with $\partial_0$ and $A + 1$, see [6]. For our purposes here we do not need the construction of the full Sobolev lattice. It suffices to note the possibility of the ad-hoc definition of the dual space $H_{\rho,-1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right)$ of the space $H_{\rho,1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right)$, which is the domain $D\left(\partial_0\right)$ equipped with the norm $|\cdot|_{\rho,1,0} := |\partial_0 \cdot|_{\rho,0,0}$ . This allows to include data such as $\delta \otimes V_0$, $V_0 \in H$, where $\left(\delta \otimes V_0\right)\left(\Phi\right) := \left\langle \Phi\left(0\right) | V_0 \right\rangle_H$ for all $\Phi \in H_{\rho,1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right)$ is the appropriate representation of initial data in our setting. Note that these spaces give rise to the Gelfand triplet

$$H_{\rho,1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right) \hookrightarrow H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right) \hookrightarrow H_{\rho,-1}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right).$$

Similarly we can consider the space $H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_1\left(A+1\right)\right)$, where $H_1\left(A+1\right)$ is the space $D\left(A\right)$ equipped with the graph norm $|\cdot|_{\rho,0,1} := |\left(A+1\right) \cdot|_{\rho,0}$ . With $H_{-1}\left(A+1\right) := H_1^*\left(A+1\right)$ we obtain Gelfand triplets

$$H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_1\left(A+1\right)\right) \hookrightarrow H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right) \hookrightarrow H_{\rho,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{-1}\left(A+1\right)\right)$$

and

$$H_1\left(A+1\right) \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow H_{-1}\left(A+1\right).$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)$ *be a material law of the form (11) satisfying (14). Then for every sufficiently large* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ *and every* $f \in H_{\rho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ *there is a unique solution* $U \in H_{\rho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $k = -1, 0, 1$, *satisfying equation (6). Moreover, the solution operator*

$$\left(\partial_0 \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) + A\right)^{-1} : H_{\rho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H) \to H_{\rho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$$

*is continuous and causal in the sense that, for every* $a \in \mathbb{R}$, *if* $g \in H_{\rho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ *vanishes as an* $H$*-valued generalized function on* $]-\infty, a[$ *then so does* $\left(\partial_0 \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) + A\right)^{-1} g$.

*Remark* 1.3.

1. Causality implies that if $g \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ vanishes on $]-\infty, a[$ then the fractional derivatives occurring in the material law are actually Riemann-Liouville type derivatives ${}_aD_t^{\alpha_k}$, $k = 0, \ldots, N$.
2. We have chosen to discuss the case of $A$ skew-selfadjoint in $H$. More general operators $A$ could also be admitted by using other suitable boundary conditions, compare e.g. [9, 11]. The operator $A$ can even be generalized to a monotone relation, see [11].

## 2 Some Applications

According to Remark 1.1 the case $M_0$ strictly positive definite is particularly simple. It has already been noted in [2] that such cases are easily accessible. As an application a particular model with $M_0$ strictly positive definite, and $\Pi = \{0, 1/2\}$ has been considered. Here we want to focus on the case $M_0 = 0$.

Since an additional term $M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)$ satisfying (13) may always be inserted into the material law operator (12), we may further simplify our perspective to the case $M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) = 0$. The most simple case of this type is now

$$\Pi = \{\alpha\}$$

with $\alpha = 1 - \beta$, $\beta \in ]0, 1[$, yielding

$$\left(\partial_0^{\beta} M_\alpha + M_1 + A\right) U = F. \tag{15}$$

### 2.1 Fractional Reaction-Diffusion Equations

To be specific let us consider the fractional Fokker-Planck equation, compare e.g. [4]. This amounts to taking

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla^\top \\ \nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with a suitable boundary condition to ensure skew-selfadjointness, e.g. vanishing of the first block component $\vartheta$ or vanishing of the normal component of the second block component $\Phi$. For the material we assume

$$M_\alpha := \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; M_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{00} & \mu_{01} \\ \mu_{10} & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\kappa_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{Re}\,\mu_{11}$ selfadjoint and strictly positive definite on the respective $L^2$-type block component spaces, $\mu_{ik}$ bounded, linear operators, $i,k=0,1$. Thus, the system looks formally like

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_0^{1-\alpha}\kappa_\alpha+\mu_{00} & \nabla^\top+\mu_{01} \\ \nabla+\mu_{10} & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple row operation yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_0^{1-\alpha}\kappa_\alpha+\mu_{00}-\left(\nabla^\top+\mu_{01}\right)\mu_{11}^{-1}\left(\nabla+\mu_{10}\right) & 0 \\ \nabla+\mu_{10} & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

which reduces to a fractional convection-diffusion operator

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0^{1-\alpha}\kappa_\alpha+\mu_{00}-\left(\nabla^\top+\mu_{01}\right)\mu_{11}^{-1}\left(\nabla+\mu_{10}\right) = \partial_0^{1-\alpha}\kappa_\alpha+\left(\mu_{00}-\mu_{01}\mu_{11}^{-1}\mu_{10}\right)-\nabla^\top\mu_{11}^{-1}\nabla \\ -\mu_{01}\mu_{11}^{-1}\nabla-\nabla^\top\mu_{11}^{-1}\mu_{10}\end{aligned}$$

for the first block component, say $\vartheta$, of a solution $U=\begin{pmatrix}\vartheta\\ \Phi\end{pmatrix}$ of the associated equation of the form (15). The second row represents the flux $\Phi$ in terms of $\vartheta$ and $\nabla\vartheta$

$$\Phi=-\mu_{11}^{-1}\nabla\vartheta-\mu_{11}^{-1}\mu_{10}\vartheta. \tag{16}$$

Clearly for $\alpha=0$ we recover the usual convection-diffusion equation. Speaking in terms of heat conduction, which is, up to a choice of units (and interpretation), governed by the same type of convection-diffusion equation, (16) is a variant of Fourier's law.

## 2.2 Fractional Visco-Elastic Material Laws

As our second example we inspect linear visco-elasticity. It is a well-established idea that material laws describing visco-elastic solids may be more simple to match with measurements if fractional integrals are admitted, see e.g. [1], [5]. If it is assumed that $M_{\alpha_0}$ is strictly positive definite, then the lower order terms of the material law can be considered as small perturbations (similar to the term $M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right)$). Thus, only an equation of the form (15), even with $M_1=0$, needs to be considered in this case. The spatial operator

$$A:=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{Div} \\ -\operatorname{Grad} & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where Div is the restriction of the tensorial divergence operator div to symmetric tensors of order 2 and Grad is the symmetric part of the Jacobian matrix $d\otimes u$ of $u$, is skew-selfadjoint if suitable boundary conditions on the boundary of the underlying domain $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^3$ are imposed, e.g. vanishing of the displacement $u$ on the boundary. This choice of boundary condition would amount to replacing Grad by the closure $\overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Grad}}$ of the restriction of Grad to vector fields with smooth components vanishing outside of a compact subset of $\Omega$. The above general considerations allow for very general materials to be covered by the approach.

Let us take a closer look at a fractional Kelvin-Voigt model for visco-elastic material. In this model we have the equation

$$\eta\partial_0^2u-\operatorname{Div}T=f$$

linking the stress tensor field $T$ with the displacement vector field $u$, accompanied by a material relation of the form

$$T = \left(C + D\partial_0^\alpha\right)\mathcal{E} \tag{17}$$

with $\mathcal{E} \coloneqq \operatorname{Grad} u$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Here the case $D = 0$ would correspond to purely elastic behavior and the case $\alpha = 1$ and $D \neq 0$ would describe the classical Kelvin-Voigt material. Introducing $v \coloneqq \partial_0 u$ as a new unknown we arrive – by differentiating (17) – at

$$\begin{gathered} \eta\partial_0 v - \operatorname{Div} T = f \\ \partial_0 \left(C + D\partial_0^\alpha\right)^{-1} T = \operatorname{Grad} v \end{gathered}$$

yielding formally an evolutionary equation of the form

$$\left(\partial_0 \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(C + D\partial_0^\alpha\right)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{Div} \\ -\overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Grad}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \begin{pmatrix} v \\ T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $C, D$ both are assumed to be selfadjoint and $D$ is strictly positive we have for $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ in the above terminology

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(C + D\partial_0^\alpha\right)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{gathered} M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ M_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{gathered}$$

and

$$M_2\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) \coloneqq \mathcal{M}\left(\partial_0^{-1}\right) - M_0 - M_\alpha \partial_0^{-\alpha}.$$

*Remark* 2.1. A more careful inspection shows that the material can be more general. It suffices to assume $\alpha \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, $C, D \geq 0$ and

$$C + D\rho^\alpha \geq c_0 \tag{18}$$

for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Estimate (18) holds if $\pi_{0,D}^* C \pi_{0,D}$, $\pi_{1,D}^* D \pi_{1,D}$ are both strictly positive definite, where $\pi_{0,D}$ and $\pi_{1,D}$ are the canonical embeddings of null space and range of $D$ in the underlying Hilbert space of symmetric $3 \times 3$-matrices with entries in $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively.

## 2.3 Initial Boundary Value Problems

The question may be raised, how initial value problems could be posed for problems of the form (15). Since fractional derivatives of order $\beta \in ]0, 1[$ are non-local, i.e. we have memory effects, prescribing a pre-history appears to be more appropriate. This amounts to given $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$-data prior to the initial time 0, i.e. “the pre-history”, and is clearly covered by the above.

However, if one would force the issue of initial conditions, there appear to be two different ideas to implement initial conditions. The first option would be to consider with $F \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$, $W_\alpha \in M_\alpha[H]$,

$$\partial_0^\beta M_\alpha U + M_1 U + AU = F + \delta \otimes W_\alpha \tag{19}$$

as a straight-forward generalization of the case $\beta = 1$, see [6]. This translates to

$$\partial_0 \partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha U + M_1 U + AU = F + \delta \otimes W_\alpha,$$

which following the rationale in [6] would be in point-wise terms an implementation of the initial jump condition

$$\left(\partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha U - \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \otimes W_\alpha\right)(0+) - \left(\partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha U - \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \otimes W_\alpha\right)(0-) = 0 \text{ in } H_{-1}.$$

If $F = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ then causality yields

$$\left(\partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha U - \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \otimes W_\alpha\right)(0-) = 0 \text{ in } H_{-1}$$

and so, in this case an initial condition of the form

$$\left(\partial_0^{-\alpha} M_\alpha U\right)(0+) = W_\alpha \text{ in } H_{-1}$$

results.

Alternatively, let

$$V_\alpha \in M_\alpha [H]$$

and $G \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ be given and consider

$$\partial_0^\beta \left(M_\alpha V - \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \otimes V_\alpha\right) + M_1 V + AV = G. \tag{20}$$

For the case $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $G = F$ and $V_0 = W_0$ this would be a re-formulation of (19). This justifies to take (20) as an appropriate alternative generalization. Problem (20) is equivalent to the evolutionary problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0^\beta M_\alpha V + M_1 V + AV &= G + \partial_0^\beta \chi_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} \otimes V_\alpha, \\ &= G + \partial_0^{-\alpha} \delta \otimes V_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Both approaches are clearly closely related. Indeed, for $G = \partial_0^{-\alpha} F$ and $V_\alpha = W_\alpha$ we see by comparison that

$$V = \partial_0^{-\alpha} U.$$

## References

[1] A. Bertram. *Elasticity and Plasticity of Large Deformations: An Introduction.* Berlin: Springer. xiv, 326 p., 2005.

[2] D. F. McGhee and R. Picard. A Class of Evolutionary Operators and its Applications to Electroseismic Waves in Anisotropic, Inhomogeneous Media. *Operators and Matrices*, 5(4):665–678, 2011.

[3] K. S. Miller and B. Ross. *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations.* New York: John Wiley &amp; Sons, Inc.. xiii, 366 p., 1993.

[4] R. Metzler, E. Barkai, and J. Klafter. Anomalous diffusion and relaxation close to thermal equilibrium: A fractional Fokker-Planck equation approach. *Physical review letters*, 82(18):3563–3567, 1999.

[5] B. Nolte, S. Kempfle, and I. Schäfer. Does a real material behave fractionally? Applications of fractional differential operators to the damped structure borne sound in viscoelastic solids. *Journal of Computational Acoustics*, 11(03):451–489, 2003.

[6] R. Picard. A Structural Observation for Linear Material Laws in Classical Mathematical Physics. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 32(14):1768–1803, 2009.

[7] R. Picard. On a Comprehensive Class of Linear Material Laws in Classical Mathematical Physics. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. S*, 3(2):339–349, 2010.

[8] R. Picard and D. F. McGhee. *Partial Differential Equations: A Unified Hilbert Space Approach*, Volume 55 of *De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics.* De Gruyter. Berlin, New York. 518 p., 2011.

[9] R. Picard. *A Class of Evolutionary Problems with an Application to Acoustic Waves with Impedance Type Boundary Conditions*, pages 533–548. Birkhäuser, 2012.

[10] I. Podlubny. *Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications. (Mathematics in Science and Engineering, volume 198).* Academic Press, 340 p., 1999.

[11] S. Trostorff. Autonomous Evolutionary Inclusions with Applications to Problems with Nonlinear Boundary Conditions. Technical report, TU Dresden, 2012. arXiv:1212.2061.